



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,289	11/13/2003	Samuel H. Russ	A-8753	9338

5642 7590 10/29/2008  
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY  
LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044

EXAMINER
----------

SCHNURR, JOHN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2421

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/29/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail@sciatl.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/712,289	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RUSS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN R. SCHNURR	<b>Art Unit</b> 2421	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/21/2008 has been entered.

**DETAILED ACTION**

2. Claims 16-28 are pending and have been examined.

***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims **16-28** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Naden (WO 01/56297)** in view of **Kliger et al. (US 2004/0177381)**, herein Kliger.

Consider **claim 16**, Naden clearly teaches a networked system, comprising:

a switch configured to receive multimedia signals originating from a remote source; (**Fig. 2: RF switch 202 receives multimedia signals from satellite receivers, pg. 6 lines 26-27.**)

Art Unit: 2421

a first receiving device configured to control the switch to selectively receive at least a portion of the multimedia signals from the switch, the first receiving device being configured to process received multimedia signals to generate output signals for presentation on a first local device, **(Fig. 2: Local set interface 216 controls the output of switch 202, pg. 7 lines 1-11.)** the first receiving device comprising a storage device configured to selectively store multimedia signals received from the switch, **((Fig. 4: Video memory system 402 is disposed in master set top box 110', pg. 11 line 22 to pg. 12 line 25.)**

a second receiving device configured to control the switch to selectively receive via the switch at least a portion of the multimedia signals originating from a remote source **(Fig. 2: Slave set top boxes 116 controls the output of switch 202, pg. 7 lines 1-11.)** and to selectively receive at least a portion of the stored multimedia signals from the first receiving device, the second receiving device being configured to process received multimedia signals to generate output signals for presentation on a second local device. **(Fig. 4: Video memory system 402 is disposed in master set top box 110' and provides recorded video to the slave set top boxes upon request, pg. 11 line 22 to pg. 12 line 25.)**

However, Naden does not explicitly teach the first receiving device supplying the stored multimedia signals to the second receiving device via the switch.

In an analogous art, Kliger, which discloses a local multimedia network, clearly teaches the first receiving device supplying the stored multimedia signals to the second receiving device via a network entry point. **(Fig. 2: Home media server 24 provides recoded multimedia to thin clients 28 via splitter 14, [0020].)**

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Naden by transmitting the stored multimedia signals from the first receiving device to the second receiving device via the switch, as taught by Kliger, for the benefit of providing stored multimedia signals to legacy set top boxes ([0020] Kliger).

Consider **claim 17**, Naden combined with Kliger, as in claim 16, clearly teaches the remote source is a satellite. **(pg. 4 lines 14-23 Naden)**

Consider **claim 18**, Naden combined with Kliger, as in claim 16, clearly teaches the first receiving device is a digital home communications system (DHCT). **(Fig. 2 MSTB 110 Naden)**

Art Unit: 2421

Consider **claim 19**, Naden combined with Kliger, as in claim 16, clearly teaches the second receiving device is one of a plurality of second receiving devices, **(Fig. 1 SSTBs 116 Naden)** each configured to control the switch to selectively receive via the switch multimedia signals from the remote source **(Fig. 2: SSTBs 116 control the output of switch 202, pg. 7 lines 1-11 Naden.)** and to selectively receive via the switch **(Fig. 2: Home media server 24 provides recorded multimedia to thin clients 28 via splitter 14, [0020] Kliger.)** stored multimedia signals from the first receiving device. **(Fig. 4: Video memory system 402 is disposed in master set top box 110' and provides recorded video to the slave set top boxes upon request, pg. 11 line 22 to pg. 12 line 25 Naden.)**

Consider **claim 20**, Naden combined with Kliger, as in claim 16, clearly teaches the second receiving device is a digital home communications system (DHCT). **(Fig. 3 SSTB 116 Naden)**

Consider **claim 21**, Naden combined with Kliger, as in claim 16, clearly teaches the switch routes multimedia signals based on at least one of polarization and frequency of the multimedia signals, **(pg. 7 lines 4-11 Naden)** wherein the first receiving device supplies the stored multimedia signals to the switch with a polarization or frequency that is different from a polarization or frequency of the multimedia signals from the remote source. **(Fig. 5: Signals from VMS 402 are sent through wireless protocol converter 212 and base station transceiver 214 where they are modulated, pg. 9 lines 7-17 and pg. 11 lines 22-25 Naden.)**

Consider **claim 22**, Naden combined with Kliger, as in claim 16, clearly teaches the first receiving device further comprises a modulator configures to modulate the stored multimedia signals **(Fig. 5: Signals from VMS 402 are sent through wireless protocol converter 212 and base station transceiver 214 where they are modulated, pg. 9 lines 7-17 and pg. 11 lines 22-25 Naden.)** prior to supplying the stored multimedia signals to the switch. **(Fig. 2: Home media server 24 provides recorded multimedia to thin clients 28 via splitter 14, [0020] Kliger.)**

Consider **claim 23**, Naden clearly teaches a satellite communications system for transmitting downstream satellite signals from a satellite transponder to a satellite receiver, the satellite signals being transmitted with a plurality of frequencies and polarizations, the system comprising:

a satellite receiver configured to receive the downstream satellite signals;  
**(Fig. 1: Dish antennas 102-1 to 102-N receive satellite signals, pg. 4 lines 14-23.)**

Art Unit: 2421

a switch configured to route the downstream satellite signals according to frequency and polarization; **(Fig. 2: RF switch 202 receives multimedia signals from satellite receivers, pg. 6 lines 26-27. Signals are selected based on polarization and frequency, pg. 5 lines 2-7 and pg. 7 lines 4-11.)**

a first digital home communications system (DHCT) coupled to the switch and configured to process a portion of the downstream satellite signals in accordance with a tuned frequency and polarization, and configured to store and subsequently transmit requested presentations included in the downstream satellite signals, **(Fig. 4: Video memory system 402 is disposed in master set top box 110' and provides recorded video to the slave set top boxes upon request, pg. 11 line 22 to pg. 12 line 25.)**

a second DHCT coupled to the switch and configured to process a portion of the downstream satellite signals in accordance with a tuned frequency and polarization, and configured to receive the requested presentation from the first DHCT. **(Fig. 4: Video memory system 402 is disposed in master set top box 110' and provides recorded video to the slave set top boxes upon request, pg. 11 line 22 to pg. 12 line 25.)**

However, Naden does not explicitly teach the first receiving device supplying the stored multimedia signals to the second receiving device via the switch.

In an analogous art, Kliger, which discloses a local multimedia network, clearly teaches the first receiving device supplying the stored multimedia signals to the second receiving device via a network entry point. **(Fig. 2: Home media server 24 provides recoded multimedia to thin clients 28 via splitter 14, [0020].)**

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Naden by transmitting the stored multimedia signals from the first receiving device to the second receiving device via the switch, as taught by Kliger, for the benefit of providing stored multimedia signals to legacy set top boxes ([0020] Kliger).

Consider **claim 24**, Naden combined with Kliger, as in claim 23, clearly teaches the received satellite signals and requested presentations are received and transmitted over a common port. **(pg. 9 lines 4-6 Naden)**

Consider **claim 25**, see claim 22.

Consider **claim 26**, see claim 16.

Consider **claim 27**, see claim 21.

Consider **claim 28**, see claim 22.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN R. SCHNURR whose telephone number is (571)270-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John W. Miller/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2421

JRS

Application/Control Number: 10/712,289  
Art Unit: 2421

Page 7